Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/768,364	CURRO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit .
	Kimberly K. McClelland	1734
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Kimberly K. McClelland</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Jay Krebs</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 December 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: n/a		
Prior art documents discussed:		
n/a		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Krebs agreed to examiner's amendment, which would overcome the prior art of record.		
Whi. Niebs agreed to examiner's differentiations, which would everyone the prior art extreme.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		·
Kim McChilloro		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		